



Notes of the Feering Parish Council Planning Working Group
held virtually on Monday 15 November 2021 at 8.00 pm
via Zoom (Meeting ID 812 8167 2254)

In Attendance: Cllr Robert Carpenter (Chairman) Cllr Paul Lees
Cllr Katherine Evans Cllr Simon Reed
Lisa Collins - Clerk

1) **DISCUSSION OF THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS**

- a) **ESS/34/15/BTE/64/01** – Rivenhall Airfield, Coggeshall Road, Braintree CO5 9DF

Comments by Councillors: Believe that this is a matter for the BDC historic officer and have no comment to make.

Delegated response: Feering Parish Council have no comment to make on this application.

- b) **21/03161/TPO** – 15 Feering Hill, Feering

Comments by Councillors: Happy with comments made by tree warden and submit the response to BDC. Risk management reasons.

Delegated response: Feering Parish Council's tree warden has made the following comments on this application:

- **T1 Deodar Cedar** - Cedars should be rarely pruned, cutting too far into the tree might cause the tree stress and it won't grow new branches if cuts are made into the dead zone (where there is no longer any green growth). Dead, dying etc (incl potential damage) removal is fine and work must be done in spring, not winter, to reduce the damage from frost/wind and before it starts to grow again;
- **T3 Pedunculate Oak** – No issues, English oaks can take a lot of pruning and it is thought that it prolongs their life. Wildlife value goes down with the removal of ivy and deadwood. It should be done in the winter whilst the tree is dormant;
- **T4 Deodar Cedar** – See comment for T1;
- **T6 Common Beech** – No real issue here as long as the work is done in the winter.

We note that the tree survey report states that the majority of this work is for risk management purposes and subject to the above comments we support this application.

- c) **21/03220/T56** – MMO2 Site 33803, London Road, Feering

Comments by Councillors: Seems to suggest that this is within permitted development in the correspondence, but some seems to imply that it is not. Existing infrastructure already in place and adjacent to the railway and farms. Have adjacent residential

properties been notified? No objection so long as adjacent residential properties have been notified of the application.

Delegated response: Feering Parish Council would appreciate confirmation that the adjacent residential properties to the site have been notified of this application but have no objection to the application.

d) **21/03160/HH – 92 Feering Hill, Feering**

Comments by Councillors: Noted that the neighbour has objected to this application. Should we ask the applicant to respect the height of the original planning application and also the neighbour's conservatory. The rear extension diagram states that the proposed existing side elevation facing No 90 and 94 and we believe these numbers are on the incorrect elevation. Councillors do not object to the principal of the extension but feel that the elevational scale of the extension relative to the adjoining neighbour's conservatory should be reconsidered and reduced and the wall which flanks the conservatory be reconsidered so that it has either glazing at high level to match like the current conservatory or rendered to enable more light rather than looking out on brick wall? The extension is deeper so is there a loss of light issue? Does the new internal lounge meet the minimum light level?

Delegated response: Feering Parish Council do not object to the principal of the extension but has noted the objection from the neighbour to this application. The Parish Council feel that the elevational scale of the extension compared to the adjoining neighbour's conservatory should be reconsidered and reduced and the wall which flanks the conservatory also be reconsidered so that it has either glazing at high level to match the current conservatory or rendered to enable more light rather than looking out onto a brick wall. We have also noted that the extension is deeper and would like to know whether there is a loss of light issue on the adjoining neighbour in this regard.

The Parish Council have also noted that the new internal lounge does not have any natural light and would like confirmation as to whether this will meet the minimum light level?

e) **21/03218/HH – 37 Feering Hill, Feering**

Comments by Councillors: This is the third time that this application has been brought to Council. There is some alteration to the upstairs bedroom with regard to the Juliette balcony. No objection to the application, however we have noted the objection relating to the property to the rear of the property and would support the tree line remaining in place.

Delegated response: Feering Parish Council has no objection to the application. However, we have noted the objection relating to the property to the rear of the property and would support a condition being made, if the application is approved, to the tree line remaining in place.

DATE OF NEXT MEETING

Next meeting to be held on 29 November 2021